



The case for subcutaneous DMPA

Women want and need access to a variety of contraceptives to prevent pregnancy over the course of their lives. Subcutaneous DMPA^{*} (DMPA-SC) is a new type of injectable contraception that is highly effective, safe, and easy to use, and most women and providers prefer it over intramuscular DMPA (DMPA-IM).^{1,2} The DMPA-SC product available today is also known by the brand name Sayana[®] Press,^{**} and the product is approved for use in more than 40 countries.

Mounting evidence and experience shows that integrating DMPA-SC across multiple channels in a country's family planning (FP) program can help expand contraceptive access and drive outcomes.


DMPA-SC can be a valuable addition to a broad contraceptive method mix because it:

Simplifies use

- **DMPA-SC has a shorter needle** that is injected into the fat under the skin, rather than into a muscle. It is easier to inject and more comfortable for women.
- **DMPA-SC has a lower dose** of the contraceptive hormone but has the same effectiveness and safety as DMPA-IM.
- **The contraceptive drug and needle is combined into a single device.** There is no need to match a vial with syringe and needle.

^{*}DMPA stands for depot medroxyprogesterone acetate. The terms subcutaneous DMPA and DMPA-SC encompass both branded and future generic products.

^{**}Sayana Press is a registered trademark of Pfizer Inc.



Quick facts about DMPA-SC (Sayana[®] Press)

- **99 percent effective at preventing unintended pregnancy** when given correctly and on time every three months. Does not protect from HIV and other sexually transmitted infections.
- **Lower dose of contraceptive hormone than intramuscular DMPA.**
- **Small and light, with a short needle.**
- **Easy to use**, including by community health workers and women themselves (self-injection).
- **Stable at room temperature** (15°C–30°C).
- **Three-year shelf life.**
- **Available in at least 20 FP2020 countries.^{*}**
- **Can be purchased at US\$0.85 per dose** by qualified buyers (including ministries of health in FP2020 countries).

^{*}FP2020 aims to expand access to family planning information, services, and supplies to an additional 120 million women and girls in 69 of the world's poorest countries.

PATH/Jessica Fleming



Expands access

- **DMPA-SC is a suitable addition to any public- or private-sector delivery channel.** Beyond traditional clinics, this includes community-based distribution and pharmacies and drug shops—places where women often get their contraception.
- **With training, women can self-inject DMPA-SC.**^{3,4} Self-injection empowers women to manage their own health and saves women time and money in travel costs.
- **DMPA-SC has a competitive price that can enable scale-up.** It can be purchased at US\$0.85 per dose by qualified buyers, a price similar to DMPA-IM.*

Drives results

- By expanding contraceptive options and access, **DMPA-SC helps countries deliver on their national and global FP commitments**, such as FP2020.
- **DMPA-SC can reach new FP users, including young women and adolescent girls.** During a two-year pilot introduction in Burkina Faso, Niger, Senegal, and Uganda, between 24 to 42 percent of cumulative doses were administered to new users of modern contraception, and 44 percent of cumulative doses administered across Niger, Senegal, and Uganda went to women younger than age 25.⁵
- Research from Malawi, Senegal, Uganda, and the United States shows that **women who self-inject DMPA-SC use injectable contraception longer** than women who get their injections from providers—meaning fewer unintended pregnancies.^{6,7,8,9}
- When looking at costs to women and health systems in Uganda, **self-injected DMPA-SC can save more money and avert more pregnancies** than DMPA-IM administered by facility-based providers.¹⁰



To realize the full potential and benefits of DMPA-SC, a critical mass of countries must integrate the product through all levels of the health system.

Technical support and tools are available now to support FP2020 countries in scaling up DMPA-SC.

For more information, contact FPoptions@path.org.

*This pricing reflects a six-year agreement. During the six years (2017–2022), the price is guaranteed at US\$0.85. After the agreement, Pfizer Inc. is committed to ensuring the product continues to be available at an affordable price.

References

1. Burke HM, Mueller MP, Perry B, et al. Observational study of the acceptability of Sayana® Press among intramuscular DMPA users in Uganda and Senegal. *Contraception*. 2014 May 1;89(5):361–367.
2. Burke HM, Mueller MP, Packer C, et al. Provider acceptability of Sayana® Press: results from community health workers and clinic-based providers in Uganda and Senegal. *Contraception*. 2014 May 1;89(5):368–373.
3. Cover J, Namagembe A, Tumusiime J, Lim J, Drake JK, Mbonye AK. A prospective cohort study of the feasibility and acceptability of depot medroxyprogesterone acetate administered subcutaneously through self-injection. *Contraception*. 2017 Mar 1;95(3):306–311.
4. Cover J, Ba M, Lim J, Drake JK, Daff BM. Evaluating the feasibility and acceptability of self-injection of subcutaneous depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA) in Senegal: a prospective cohort study. *Contraception*. 2017 Sep 1;96(3):203–210.
5. Stout A, Wood S, Barigye G, Kaboré A, Sidde D, Ndione I. Expanding access to injectable contraception: results from pilot introduction of subcutaneous depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA-SC) in 4 African countries. *Global Health: Science and Practice*. 2018 Mar 21;6(1):55–72.
6. Burke HM, Chen M, Buluzi M, et al. Effect of self-administration versus provider-administered injection of subcutaneous depot medroxyprogesterone acetate on continuation rates in Malawi: a randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet Global Health*. 2018 May 8;6(5):e568–e578.
7. Cover J. Continuation of self-injected DMPA-SC compared to DMPA-IM administered by health workers at health facilities in Senegal. Unpublished results.
8. Kohn JE, Simons HR, Della Badia L, et al. Increased 1-year continuation of DMPA among women randomized to self-administration: results from a randomized controlled trial at Planned Parenthood. *Contraception*. 2018 Mar 1;97(3):198–204.
9. Cover J, Namagembe A, Tumusiime J, Nsangi D, Lim J, Nakiganda-Busiku D. Continuation of injectable contraception when self-injected versus administered by a facility-based health worker: a non-randomized, prospective cohort study in Uganda. *Contraception*. 2018. In press. doi:10.1016/j.contraception.2018.03.032.
10. Di Giorgio L, Mvundura M, Tumusiime J, Morozoff C, Cover J, Drake JK. Is contraceptive self-injection cost-effective compared to contraceptive injections from facility-based health workers? Evidence from Uganda. *Contraception*. 2018. Under review.