

# Commodity Gap Analysis 2019

## Overview for FP2020 countries


RHSC's Contraceptive Commodity Gap Analysis (CGA) contributes vital data and analysis that inform strategies to address future supply availability. The rich findings of the report help illuminate pertinent facts about the world today, as well as changes that may happen over the coming decade. From these results, five themes emerged that are critical to addressing supply availability. This brief highlights key findings for each theme for FP2020 countries.

### 01 Prospects of stagnating donor funding

The amount currently spent on contraceptive supplies across FP2020 countries is

**\$1.04 billion**

Current spending by sector



If spending stays at current levels while the number of women using contraception grows a funding gap will emerge . . .

**\$105 million** by 2020

**\$231 million** in 2025


**\$900 million** over 5 years (2021-2025)

### 02 Distinct landscapes between the public and private sectors

There are a total of 317 million users of contraception in FP2020 countries. Overall 60% receive their method from public sector sources while 40% receive their method from private sector sources.

The graph to the right shows how these users distribute by sector and method. We often see pronounced differences in the methods women receive from public and private sector sources, suggesting that the two are not interchangeable.


Users by method, 2018



### 03 The role of subsidies in the private sector

Share of users within private sector, 2018

Comparison by method




CGA 2019 breaks new ground by setting aside the distinctions between social marketing and commercial sectors, focusing instead on the supplies themselves: whether they are sold at a commercial price, or if they benefit from some sort of public sector subsidy.

Of the 126 million users of contraception in FP2020 countries who obtain supplies from private sector entities, 81% purchase non-subsidized supplies. The role of subsidy often varies by method as show in the graph to the left.

# 04 Differences in the distribution of users and costs

Method Mix of Use versus Cost, 2018



The total consumption cost of contraceptive supplies in FP2020 countries is currently \$1.08 billion.


Because consumption cost is not simply a function of use, there are often stark differences in the method mix of users and the method mix of costs as shown in the graph to the left. This is due to a number of factors, including differences in the number of units per year of each method a user must consume; differences in costs between methods; and differences in cost for each method from country to country.

# 05 Significant yet uneven growth in the decade ahead

CGA 2019 does not attempt to predict future changes, but rather show where things would be if current trends persist.


By the year 2020, there will be 336 million users of contraception living in FP2020 countries. According to current trends, that number will reach 412 million by 2030, an increase of 76.2 million users.

Changes in Users, 2020-2030



As the total number of users changes, so too will the costs. In 2020, the cost of supplies in FP2020 countries will be \$1.14 billion. That figure will reach \$1.38 billion by 2030, an increase of \$241 million.

Changes in Consumption Cost, 2020-2030



Includes 69 countries: Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Benin, Bhutan, Bolivia, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cambodia, Cameroon, CAR, Chad, Comoros, Congo, Congo, DR, Côte d'Ivoire, Djibouti, Egypt, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Haiti, Honduras, India, Indonesia, Iraq, Kenya, Korea DPR, Kyrgyzstan, Lao PDR, Lesotho, Liberia, Madagascar, Malawi, Mali, Mauritania, Mongolia, Mozambique, Myanmar, Nepal, Nicaragua, Niger, Nigeria, Pakistan, Palestine, Papua N. Guinea, Philippines, Rwanda, São Tomé & Príncipe, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Solomon Isl., Somalia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Tajikistan, Tanzania, Timor-Leste, Togo, Uganda, Uzbekistan, Vietnam, Yemen, Zambia, Zimbabwe