A Performance Monitoring & Accountability Working Group will address current measurement and accountability gaps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenges for performance monitoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Limited number of FP-specific indicators represented at the global level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Data is captured infrequently (e.g., may be 5+ years out of date) or is poor quality; limited scale up of innovative methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Where data exists, a lack of robust FP reporting mechanisms limits its use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Lack of consensus on indicators for monitoring quality of care, access and equity, and rights based programming</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenges for accountability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5. Lack of capacity to hold stakeholders accountable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Data is not available or used to hold stakeholders accountable or to refine FP plans and improve performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Lack of accountability for supply-chain management (e.g. method mix and preventing stock-outs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Lack of capacity and limited use of proven approaches to ensure rights based programming, access and equity, and that providers are giving quality, voluntary family planning services</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The FP2020 monitoring and accountability framework will:

- Rigorously track family planning inputs, processes, outputs, outcomes and impact, as well as funding flows, including indicators with short time lags to track innovation, coverage, quality and scale;

- Monitor progress against priorities including the need to reach the poor and disadvantaged and vulnerable groups, such as girls, and to ensure the protection of human rights in relation to contraceptive information, supplies and services;

- Ensure that governments and civil society vigorously promote quality and voluntary family planning and ensure that any coercive practices are reported and action is taken to ensure human rights are protected.

- Support country ownership, and ensure alignment and integration with country-specific policies and reporting processes, including linkages with EWEC and the MDGs;

- Emphasise stewardship and accountability at the global and country levels with an emphasis on voluntary family planning, rights, equity and contraceptive access;

- Ensure broad-based participation of non-state actors and civil society including capacity building for improved accountability.
Preliminary role and tasks of the FP2020 Performance Monitoring and Accountability Working Group

Scope of Performance Monitoring & Accountability Working Group

The PM&A Working Group will support monitoring, learning, evaluation and accountability at country and global levels, including:

▪ Advising on FP2020 indicators, data collection methods and reporting processes
▪ Ensure (with others) FP2020 commitments tracked and reported
▪ Advise on effective approaches for holding policy makers and providers accountable for commitments and progress, including equity, access, choice and rights based approaches

Key tasks of the Working Group

▪ Develop and align with key stakeholders on a priority list of indicators
▪ Agree process for commitments tracking and reporting to Ref Gp, linking with EWEC/PMNCH
▪ Develop approaches to sharing annual data on progress eg dashboards on selected indicators
▪ Dialogue with countries to:
  – Develop consensus on approach to FP2020 PM&A (including indicators, baselines and country-level data collection and reporting processes)
  – Ensure alignment with country processes, including EWEC and MDG
  – Facilitate TA in building local capacity
▪ Design accountability mechanisms to ensure equity, access, quality of care, and empowerment
▪ Coordinate with country engagement and other working groups
▪ Act as a steering committee for sub grants (covered in later slide)
Ways of working

- The PM&A Working Group will report to the Ref Group, and be accountable for ensuring that commitments and progress are tracked and reported according to agreed processes.

- It will be chaired by two co-facilitators (who?) and convened at regular intervals (how often?)

- The Working Group will also steer the work of two potential sub contractors, a Secretariat/Consortium for M&E, and a Secretariat/Consortium for Accountability. These grantees will be responsible for key tasks as per the Group’s workplan.

- The Working Group will be supported by one or two members of the Task Team, who will be responsible for taking forward key tasks in the work plan as agreed by the Group, and managing the relationship with the sub contractors above.

- The WG will link with other WGs according to agreed processes and needs.
Questions for breakout session

- Are there any more challenges and issues?
- In light of these issues, are these the right roles and tasks for the PM&A Working Group?
- Who are the key partners in this space?
- Who should make up the core members of this working group?
- How should the Working Group function (chairs, meetings, oversight of subcontracts?)
- Are these the right kinds of milestones for the PM&A Working Group?
- What are the specific next steps over the next 3 months to map the landscape of existing data efforts and to develop baselines?
- In what other ways can FP2020 support countries as they develop, implement, and monitor progress and results of FP plans?